Airport Experience Working Group
Introduction

Housekeeping

Involvement:
- The Airport Experience Working Group is the deliberating body. Questions will be taken from attendees as deemed appropriate and timely.

Member participation:
- Use of name tents

Website: [https://www.asevision.com/aewg/](https://www.asevision.com/aewg/)
- Other working groups have their own sites.
- Our, and other working group, meeting dates are posted so others and the public can attend, if desired.
- Data related to each meeting is placed on the working groups landing page.
- Support data (general) is on the web where it resides today.
Meeting Schedule

Meeting II: What Does Success Look Like Part I
Meeting III: What Does Success Look Like Part II (if needed)
Meeting IV: Report/Recommendations

Meeting 2 - What Does Success Look Like Part I: Exploring Terminal and Landside Options
October 2\textsuperscript{nd} Aspen Meadows, Doerr-Hoiser, 4 – 7pm

Meeting 3 - What Does Success Look Like Part II: Exploring Terminal and Landside Options (if needed)
October 22\textsuperscript{nd}, Pitkin County Building, Roaring Fork Room (location tentative), 4 – 7

Meeting 4 – Report: Finalize and Refine Recommendations
TBD, 4 - 7 pm
Meeting #1 – Agenda (4-7pm)

Mission - To meet our community values and goals, what is our preferred passenger terminal?

I. Voting based on previous and continued discussions
II. Review homework assignment of what a Warm, Welcoming, and Comfortable Terminal means to you
III. Begin site plan/terminal plan process
Voting Process and Rules

Each group’s efforts toward the task assigned to them by the Airport Vision Committee (AVC) will culminate in a vote for the recommendations to the AVC.

I. County facilitator will ask if a member of the Airport Experience Working Group (AEWG) would like to motion for a vote.

II. After a motion is made articulating a position and there is a second, the motion is put for discussion among the group.

III. After discussion, the question is called with votes cast by each person on a call of the roll for the AEWG.

IV. Majority vote is required to approve the motion. This constitutes the group’s recommendation.
Notes for the Voting Process and Rules

• Quorum is 3 people in attendance from the designated Working Group to take a vote.
• Meeting will be noticed 24-hours in advance, meeting will be recorded (audio) and phone line will be made available for members to call in if they can’t be there in person.
• The only group that has designated leadership is the AVC, the rest of the groups will need to vote without a leader in place.
• Public comment is allowed during the discussion of the motion/item.
• Members of the designated Working Group calling in can vote by phone.
• The motion can contain justifications or rationales.
• Minority opinions are allowed, representing individuals or multiple people on the designated Working Group. These are conveyed as an offering of the opposing recommendations and reasons.
• Consensus of a group requires a unanimous vote.
Assumptions to be Made
Assumptions to be Made

I. Airport will become Airport Design Group III (From Technical Working Group)

II. Concessionaires will be successful

III. Adequate funds are available for design per group recommendations
Voting Opportunities Based on Prior Meeting and Discussions
Voting Opportunities Based on Prior Meeting and Discussions

I. How many gates?
II. Terminal will be two stories to limit footprint
III. Back of house space should meet demand
IV. Opt for sustainable practices and use of renewable fuel sources whenever possible
V. Seasonal/employee housing
Voting Opportunities Based on Prior Meeting and Discussions

I. How Many Gates Should We Plan For?
Unconstrained Peaking Schedule

The amount of time it takes to “turn” a gate (unloading passengers and baggage and then loading the next flight) varies by airport but 5-8 turns per day is a normal range for ASE.
ASE 7-Gate Scenario
Reflects a Shift of Four Roundtrip Flights

Peak hours: 2
Adjacent “near peak” hours: 2
ASE 6-Gate Scenario
Reflects a Shift of Four Roundtrip Flights

Peak hours: 4
Adjacent “near peak” hours: 4
ASE 5-Gate Scenario
Reflects a Shift of Six Roundtrip Flights

Peak hours: 9
Adjacent “near peak” hours: 1
ASE 4-Gate Scenario
Reflects a Shift of 11 Roundtrip Flights

Peak hours: 14
Adjacent “near peak” hours: 0

ASE 4-Gate Scenario
2033 Arrivals and Departures

ASE Unconstrained DDFS
2033 Arrivals and Departures
Voting Opportunities Based on Prior Meeting and Discussions

I. Terminal will be two stories to limit footprint?
Voting Opportunities Based on Prior Meeting and Discussions

I. Back of house space should meet demand
Voting Opportunities Based on Prior Meeting and Discussions

I. Opt for sustainable practices and use of renewable fuel sources whenever possible
Voting Opportunities Based on Prior Meeting and Discussions

I. Seasonal/employee housing?
Amenities
Needed Amenities

I. Ensure adequate power outlets
II. Secure, outdoor space with a bar – possibly for overflow conditions
III. Employee de-stress area
State of ASE
Today During Busy Season
Warm, Welcoming, and Comfortable Terminal Examples
What Does “Warm, Welcoming, and Comfortable” Look Like?

Oslo

Jackson Hole
EA Pavilion Concept
EA Ridge Concept
Next Steps
Meeting Schedule

Meeting 3 - What Does Success Look Like Part II: Exploring Terminal and Landside Options *if needed*
October 22nd, Pitkin County Building, Roaring Fork Room (location tentative), 4 – 7

Meeting 4 – Report: Finalize and Refine Recommendations
TBD, 4 - 7 pm
Thank You
Are we missing anything?